



rev. 10/08/2001

2674

Attorney Docket No. IMMR-014/02US

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of Louis B. ROSENBERG

Serial No.: 10/091,750

Examiner: Kevin M. Nguyen

Confirmation No.: 8227

Art Unit: 2674

Filed: March 5, 2002

For: HAPTIC FEEDBACK STYLUS AND OTHER DEVICES

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
220 20th Street South
Customer Window
Crystal Plaza Two, Lobby, Room 1B03
Arlington, VA 22202

RECEIVED

JUN 2 2 2004

TRANSMITTAL OF DOCUMENTS

Technology Center 2600

Enclosed are the following for the above-identified application:

- ☒ Statement of the Substance of the Interview
- ☒ One postcard
- ☐ Check in the amount of \$ for the total claim fee as calculated below
- ☐ Please charge \$ to Deposit Account No. 50-1283 for the total fee. This paper is being submitted in duplicate.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any appropriate fees under 37 C.F.R. §§1.16, 1.17, and 1.21 that may be required by this paper, and to credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 50-1283.

Dated: June 17, 2004

Cooley Godward LLP
ATTN: Patent Group
One Freedom Square
Reston Town Center
11951 Freedom Drive
Reston, VA 20190-5656
Tel: (703) 456-8000
Fax: (703) 456-8100

Respectfully submitted,
COOLEY GODWARD LLP

By:

Christopher R. Hutter
Reg. No. 41,087



Rev. 10/08/2001

Attorney Docket No. IMMR-014/02US

#12
LTSOM
PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of Louis B. ROSENBERG

Serial No.: 10/091,750 Examiner: Kevin M. Nguyen

Confirmation No.: 8227 Art Unit: 2674

Filed: March 5, 2002

For: HAPTIC FEEDBACK STYLUS AND OTHER DEVICES

RECEIVED

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
220 20th Street South
Customer Window
Crystal Plaza Two, Lobby, Room 1B03
Arlington, VA 22202

JUN 22 2004

Technology Center 2600

STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW

In accordance with M.P.E.P Section 713.04, Applicant hereby submits the following statement of the substance of the interview on June 14, 2004 with Examiner K. Nguyen.

As an initial matter, the Applicant's representatives noted that in the prior Advisory Action dated April 13, 2003, Examiner Laneau improperly refused to consider the response submitted by the Applicant on April 5, 2004. In particular, the Advisory Action erroneously referred to claim amendments submitted by the Applicant on December 11, 2003 as a basis for refusing to consider the response dated April 5, 2004. Accordingly, Examiner Nguyen agreed to withdraw the final Office Action dated February 4, 2004.

The Applicant's representatives and Examiner Nguyen discussed independent claims 38, 49 and 55, and U.S. Patent No. 5,898,599 to Massie et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,709,219 to Chen et al., and U.S. Patent No. 5,571,997 to Gray et al. In particular, the Applicant's representatives indicated that unlike the invention as recited by independent claim 38, where the actuator is disposed within the stylus, neither Massie nor Chen discloses or suggests, alone or in combination, an actuator disposed within the stylus. In addition, the Applicant's representatives indicated that unlike the invention as recited by

independent claim 49, where the actuator is configured to vibrate at a high frequency so that a modulated force is applied to the stylus, Massie, Chen and Gray fail to disclose or suggest, alone or in combination, an actuator configured to vibrate at a high frequency so that a modulated force is applied to the stylus. Finally, the Applicant's representatives indicated that unlike the invention as recited by independent claim 55, where a modulated force associated with a high-frequency vibration, Massie, Chen and Gray fail to disclose or suggest, alone or in combination, a modulated force associated with a high-frequency vibration.

Examiner Nguyen agreed to withdraw the final Office Action dated February 4, 2004, conduct a further search and mail another action. Consequently, Examiner Nguyen indicated that Applicant need not respond to the outstanding final Office Action and that the six-month response deadline of August 4, 2004 is no longer applicable.

Applicant does not believe that any extensions of time are required by this paper. If, however, any extensions of time are necessary to prevent abandonment of this application, then such extensions of time are hereby petitioned under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a), and any other required fees are hereby authorized to be charged to out Deposit Account No. 50-1283.


Dated: June 17, 2004

Cooley Godward LLP
ATTN: Patent Group
One Freedom Square
Reston Town Center
11951 Freedom Drive
Reston, VA 20190-5656
Tel: (703) 456-8000
Fax: (703) 456-8100

208893 v1/RE
4H6L01!.DOC

Respectfully submitted,
COOLEY GODWARD LLP

By:



Christopher R. Hutter
Reg. No. 41,087